Some of Israel's Battles during Saul's Reign			
Battle	Victor	Description	Scripture
		Saul delivered the	
Jabesh-Gilead	Israel	people of Jabesh	1 Samuel 11:1-11
		from the	
		Ammonites.	
		Jonathan killed the	
		Philistine governor	
Gibeah	Israel	at Gibeah and the	1 Samuel 13:3-4
		Philistine garrison	
		was driven out.	
		Philistines defeated	
Michmash	Israel	by Jonathan and	1 Samuel 14:6-23
		Saul	
Amalek	Israel	Saul's forces defeat	1 9
		the Amalekites.	1 Samuel 15:1-9
		Israel is defeated.	
Mt. Gilboa	Philistia	Saul and his sons	1 Samuel 31:1-13
		are killed.	

Handout: 1 Samuel Lesson 5

Other enemies are only given a brief mention in 14:14:47 and include wars with other kingdoms

including Moab, Edom, and the king of the independent Aramaean city-state of Zobah.

There are three possible reasons Saul demanded Jonathan's death in 14:43:

- 1. Saul could have been infuriated that Jonathan had initiated the attack on the Philistine outpost without his consent.
- 2. He could have been angry if he had been told that Jonathan had criticized Saul's vow as foolish and diminished his reputation among the soldiers.
- 3. He could have been jealous of Jonathan's victory and his popularity with the soldiers.

The key Hebrew word in this chapter is *kol/qol*, which has the double meaning of "voice" and "sound." Saul was commanded to literally listen to the "voice" of Yahweh (literal translation in Samuel's discourse in 12:14, 15). But now Samuel tells Saul that all that can be heard is the bleating and lowing "sounds/voices" of the flocks and herds which according to God's "voice" should have been destroyed in consecration to God in their victory of the Amalekite holy war. The word *kol* is repeated six times in 14:1, 14, 19, 20, 22, and 24.

Saul's excuses for his failure to enact herem on the Amalekites in 15:15 and 15:20-21:

- 1. It was the people and not Saul who spared the animals.
- 2. The animals were not brought back for selfish reasons but to be offered to Yahweh.

The first excuse is contrary to verse 9 and the second, while it turns out to be true (see verses 24-25) is still a violation since it was a deliberately contrary to God's command in verse 3 and the very act of *herem* consigned all the animals as a holy sacrifice to Yahweh.

In addition, according to Samuel, Saul's whole reason for offering the "best of the animals" turns out to be theologically unacceptable (see 15:22-23; Ps 51:16-17).

Michal E. Hunt Copyright © 2014 www.AgapeBibleStudy.com